Health-related quality of life assessment in the marketing authorisation application of anticancer drugs in Europe

R. van Poppel1, J. Müller-Nordhorn1, W.-D. Ludwig2,3, G. Schott2
1Berlin School of Public Health, Charité – Universitätsmedizin Berlin; 2Arzneimittelkommission der deutschen Ärzteschaft, Berlin; 3Klinik für Hämatologie, Onkologie und Tumorimmunologie, HELIOS Klinikum Berlin-Buch
Correspondence: ramon.van-poppel@charite.de

Methods
For all anticancer drugs approved since 1995, the EPARs are collected and screened on different characteristics including “assessment of HRQoL” and, when applicable, “name of the health status instrument used”.

Results
Until 28/11/2011, EPARs for 87 anticancer drugs are available. The EPARs of 44 (51%) of these drugs mention HRQoL assessment in a total of 103 clinical trials in which 126 different HRQoL assessments are described. In 27 of the 126 cases (21%), the applied HRQoL instrument is not specified. In 64 of the 99 specified cases (65%), the applied instrument belongs to one of the two most commonly used health status instruments in cancer patients, the FACT- or QLQ-C30 questionnaire. The average percentage of new anticancer drugs with HRQoL is as follows: 70% (1995–1999), 73% (2000–2004), 47% (2005–2009) and 78% (2010–2011).

Conclusion
In only about half of all anticancer drugs approved by EMA, the EPAR mentions the assessment of HRQoL, for which the applied instrument is not specified in 21% of the cases. Under the specified instruments, the most established ones (FACT- or QLQ-C30-questionnaires) are the two most frequently applied types. Over the period 1995–2011 the average percentage of new anticancer drugs with HRQoL is constant at around 75% with a decline seen in the period 2005–2009. To fully evaluate the harms and benefits of new anticancer drugs, it is important to improve the inclusion of HRQoL assessment in clinical trials. In addition, reporting on HRQoL should be more detailed.